School Renewal Plan Cover Page
Renewal Plan for 5 Year Cycle: 2018/19 to 2023/24 Upcoming School Year: 2023/24

| School Name: | Forts Pond Elem |
| :---: | :---: |
| SIDN: | 3201064 |
| Plan Submission: | School utilizes Cognia |
| Grade Span: | 5k To 5 |
| District: | Lexington 01 |
| Address 1: | 7350 Fish Hatchery Road |
| Address 2: |  |
| City: | PELION, SC |
| Zip Code: | 29123-9473 |
| School Renewal Plan Contact Person: | Marie Watson |
| School Plan Contact Phone: | 8038212501 |
| School Plan E-mail Address: | mwatson@lexington 1.net |

## Required Signature Page

The school renewal plan, or annual update, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) (S.C. Code Ann. 59-139-10 et seq. (Supp. 2004)), the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. 59-18-1300 et seq. (Supp. 2004)), and SBE Regulation 43-261. The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the School Improvement Council, and the School Read to Succeed Literacy Leadership team lead are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements.

Assurances for the School Renewal Plans
The assurance pages following this page have been completed and the district superintendent sand school principal signature below attests that the school/district complies with all applicable assurances requirements including ACT 135 assurance pages.

## Required Printed Names and Signatures

| Superintendent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. Gerrita Postlewait Printed Name | Sunite Hosthewait <br> Signature | $\frac{4-11-23}{\text { Date }}$ |
| Principal |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Ms. Marie Watson }}{\text { Printed Name }}$ | $\frac{\text { Mawi ty Dratos }}{\text { Signature }}$ | $\frac{3-14-23}{\text { Date }}$ |
| Chairperson, District Board of Trustees |  |  |
| Mrs. Ann Marie Green <br> Printed Name | Mremanepteen <br> Signature | $\frac{4-11-23}{\text { Date }}$ |
| Chairperson, School Improvement Council |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Mr. James Baxley }}{\text { Printed Name }}$ |  | $\frac{3-14-23}{\text { Date }}$ |

## School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead

| Mrs. Donna Spivey | Nouna B. Dpivey | $\frac{3-14-2023}{}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Printed Name | Page 1 |  |  |

## Assurances for School Renewal Plan

Assurances checked below, along with the signature page signed by the superintendent and school principal, attest that the school complies with all applicable regulatory and statutory requirements listed.

| Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act (Act 135) Assurances (S.C. Code Ann §59-139-10 et seq. (Supp. 2004)) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yes | Academic Assistance, PreK-3 <br> The school makes special efforts to assist children in PreK-3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). |
| Yes | Academic Assistance, Grades 4-12 <br> The school makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4-12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). |
| Yes | Parent Involvement <br> The school encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parental involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them; providing parents with their child's individual test results and an interpretation of the results; providing parents with information on the district's curriculum and assessment program; providing frequent, two way communication between home and school; providing parents an opportunity to participate on decision making groups; designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials; including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations; and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children. |
| Yes | Staff Development <br> The school provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised Standards for Staff Development. |
| Yes | Technology <br> The school integrates technology into professional development, curriculum development, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning. |
| Yes | Innovation <br> The school uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students. |
| Yes | Collaboration <br> The school (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system). |


| Yes | Developmental Screening <br> The school ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and <br> development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and <br> cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and <br> elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes | Half-Day Child Development <br> The school provides half-day child development programs for four-year-olds (some <br> districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and <br> elementary schools. However, they may be housed at locations with other grade levels <br> or completely separate from schools. |
| Yes | Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK-3 <br> The school ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK-3 are <br> appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate <br> individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and <br> cultural context. |
| Yes | Parenting and Family Literacy <br> The school provides a four component program that integrates all of the following <br> activities: interactive literacy activities between parents and their children (Interactive |
| Literacy Activities); training for parents regarding how to be the primary teachers for <br> their children and how to be full partners in the education of their children (parenting <br> skills for adults, parent education); parent literacy training that leads to economic <br> self-sufficiency (adult education); and an age-appropriate education to prepare children |  |
| for success in school and life experiences (early childhood education). Family Literacy |  |
| is not grade specific, but is generally most appropriate for parents of children at the |  |
| primary and elementary school levels and below as well as for secondary school |  |
| students who are parents. Family Literacy program goals are to strengthen parental |  |
| involvement in the learning process of preschool children ages birth through five |  |
| years; to promote school readiness of preschool children; to offer parents special |  |
| opportunities to improve their literacy skills and education; to provide parents a chance |  |
| to recover from dropping out of school; and to identify potential developmental delays |  |
| in preschool children by offering developmental screening. |  |$|$

## Stakeholder Involvement for School Renewal Plan

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the School Renewal Plan.
A participant for each numbered position is required.

|  | Position | Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Principal | Ms. Marie Watson |
| 2. | Teacher | Ms. Kelly Glover |
| 3. | Parent/Guardian | Mrs. Carrie Blackwell |
| 4. | Community Member | Mr. Jacob Robinson |
| 5. | Paraprofessional | Mrs. Kasci Padgett |
| 6. | School Improvement Council Member | Mr. Jamie Baxley |
| 7. | Read to Succeed Reading Coach | Mrs. Donna Spivey |
| 8. | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead | Mrs. Donna Spivey |
| 9. | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Ms. Marie Watson |
| 10. | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Ms. Sujata Wallace |
|  | OTHERS (May include school board members, district or school administrators, students, PTO members, agency <br> representatives, nniversity partners, Head Start representatives, First Step representatives, etc.) <br> ** Must include the School Literacy Leadership Team for Read to Succeed |  |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Ms. Margaret Davis |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Mrs. Shaney Stewart |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Mrs. Patricia Budd |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Mrs. Susanne Pender |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Mrs. Barbara McCraw |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Ms. Kelly Glover |
|  | School Read To Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Member | Ms. Tiffany Miller |

## District Requested Strategic/Renewal Plan Waiver

The State Board of Education has the authority to waive regulations pursuant to (SBE Regulation 43-261) (C) District and School Planning which states the following:

Upon request of a district board of trustees or its designee, the State Board of Education may waive any regulation that would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic plan or school renewal plan.

All waivers must be requested in writing, signed by the local superintendent, and approved by the local school board prior to being sent to State Accountability. Use the following link to obtain more information on the waiver process:
http://ed.sc.gov/districts-schools/state-accountability/waiver-requests/

| District Wavier <br> Requested and Approved | Explain how the SBE Regulation would impede the implementation of an approved district strategic or <br> school renewal plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Extension for initial <br> District Strategic and <br> School Renewal Plans <br> (SBE Regulation 43-261) |  |
| 2. Teachers teaching more <br> than 1500 minutes <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) |  |
| 3. Teachers teaching more <br> than 4 preps <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) |  |
| 4. High School Principal <br> over two schools or grades <br> more than 9-12 <br> (SBE Regulation 43-205) |  |
| 5. Other <br> (Include the SBE <br> Regulation number to be <br> waived) | Lexington County School District One received a waiver for SBE Regulations 43-231 (II), 43-232 (I), 43-234 <br> (VI)(C)(1), 43-234 (II)(b), and 43-234 (VI)(C)(I) from the S.C. Department of Education, which gives our <br> students enrolled in the Lexington One Online Learning Academy access to a flexible pathway to the next grade <br> level that is not bound by pace, place, or time. This waiver empowers students to demonstrate mastery of standards <br> through synchronous and asynchronous teaching and learning experiences. |
| 6. Other <br> (Include the SBE <br> Regulation number to be <br> waived) |  |
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## Lexington District One Vision

Empower each child to design the future.

## Lexington District One Mission

Our mission is to cultivate
a caring community
where ALL learners
are extraordinary communicators, collaborators, creators and critical thinkers.

## System Commitments

The idea of 'system commitments' rather than strategic goals indicates that these are promises we make to ourselves, our students and our community, rather than numerical goals to check off. Annual performance goals will be driven by our commitments.

1. All students, regardless of circumstances, advance on time, prepared to graduate and ready to enter college, the military or industry with certification.
2. Teaching and learning develop power skills in all students.
3. Our schools are service-oriented centers of learning, committed to family and community partnerships.
4. Every adult will be equipped with the skills and resources necessary to advocate for and ensure the success of all students.

FPES 2021-22 Report Card

|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Text Level \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading <br> Grades 1-5 | 21-22 | All | All | 56.0\% |  | (239 / 427) |
|  |  | ELL | ELL | 54.9\% |  | (28/51) |
|  |  |  | Not ELL | 56.1\% |  | ( $211 / 376$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 57.1\% |  | (121/212) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.9\% |  | (118/215) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 67.9\% |  | (222 / 327) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 17.0\% |  | (17/100) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 51.1\% |  | (67/131) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 58.1\% |  | (172/296) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 46.4\% |  | (215 / 463) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 45.6\% |  | (186/408) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 52.7\% |  | ( 29 / 55) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 45.8\% |  | (99/216) |
|  |  |  | Male | 47.0\% |  | (116/247) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 54.6\% |  | (201/368) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 14.7\% |  | (14/95) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 45.5\% |  | (66/145) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 46.9\% |  | (149/318) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 50.7\% |  | ( $241 / 475$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 50.9\% |  | (219/430) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 48.9\% |  | (22 / 45) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 48.0\% |  | (108/225) |
|  |  |  | Male | 53.2\% |  | (133/250) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 59.2\% |  | (216/365) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 22.7\% |  | ( $25 / 110$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 54.0\% |  | (67/124) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 49.6\% |  | (174/351) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 57.0\% |  | (273/479) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 57.4\% |  | (248/432) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 53.2\% |  | ( $25 / 47$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 56.4\% |  | (132/234) |
|  |  |  | Male | 57.6\% |  | (141/245) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.6\% |  | (246/375) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 26.0\% |  | (27 / 104) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 57.4\% |  | (70/122) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 56.9\% |  | (203 / 357) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 60.6\% |  | (282/465) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 61.1\% |  | (256/419) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 56.5\% |  | P $226 / 46$ ) of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Text Level \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading <br> Grades 1-5 | 17-18 | Gender | Female | 62.1\% |  | (136/219) |
|  |  |  | Male | 59.3\% |  | (146/246) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 70.8\% |  | (250 / 353) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.6\% |  | (32 / 112) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 56.7\% |  | (59 / 104) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.8\% |  | (223 / 361) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 52.6\% |  | (263/500) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 53.6\% |  | (246/459) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 41.5\% |  | (17/41) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 52.8\% |  | (124/235) |
|  |  |  | Male | 52.5\% |  | (139/265) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 61.8\% |  | (244 / 395) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 18.1\% |  | (19 / 105) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 42.9\% |  | (33/77) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 54.4\% |  | (230/423) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 45.3\% |  | (205 / 453) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 46.3\% |  | (198/428) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 28.0\% |  | (7/25) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 47.5\% |  | (106/223) |
|  |  |  | Male | 43.0\% |  | (99 / 230) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 52.4\% |  | (193/368) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 14.1\% |  | (12/85) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 42.0\% |  | (29/69) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 45.8\% |  | (176/384) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 45.3\% |  | (198/437) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 46.5\% |  | (190/409) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 28.6\% |  | (8/28) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 49.8\% |  | (104/209) |
|  |  |  | Male | 41.2\% |  | (94 / 228) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 52.9\% |  | (182 / 344) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 17.2\% |  | (16/93) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 32.8\% |  | ( $21 / 64$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 47.5\% |  | (177 / 373) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 21-22 | All | All | 32.3\% |  | (80 / 248) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 32.5\% |  | (74 / 228) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 30.0\% |  | (6/20) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 39.2\% |  | (47 / 120) |
|  |  |  | Male | 25.8\% |  | (33 / 128) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 39.2\% |  | (78 / 199) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.1\% |  | ( $2 / 49$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 27.3\% |  | $(15 / 55)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 33.7\% |  | (65 / 193) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 25.2\% |  | (67 / 266) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 25.8\% |  | (65 / 252) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 14.3\% |  | (2 / 14) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 28.2\% |  | (35 / 124) |
|  |  |  | Male | 22.5\% |  | (32 / 142) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 30.0\% |  | (64/213) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.7\% |  | (3/53) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 18.2\% |  | (12/66) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 27.5\% |  | (55 / 200) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 30.5\% |  | (91/298) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 31.2\% |  | (86 / 276) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 22.7\% |  | (5/22) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 32.4\% |  | (44/136) |
|  |  |  | Male | 29.0\% |  | (47 / 162) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 37.1\% |  | (82/221) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 11.7\% |  | (9/77) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.5\% |  | $(14 / 55)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 31.7\% |  | (77/243) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 34.7\% |  | (90 / 259) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 34.8\% |  | (85 / 244) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 33.3\% |  | ( $5 / 15$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 42.9\% |  | (51/119) |
|  |  |  | Male | 27.9\% |  | (39 / 140) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 44.3\% |  | (86 / 194) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 6.2\% |  | (4/65) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 31.8\% |  | (14/44) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 35.3\% |  | (76 / 215) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 27.5\% |  | (79 / 287) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 27.6\% |  | (75 / 272) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 26.7\% |  | Pa(49 15) ${ }^{2}$ of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 16-17 | Gender | Female | 30.4\% |  | (42 / 138) |
|  |  |  | Male | 24.8\% |  | (37 / 149) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 33.3\% |  | (76 / 228) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.1\% |  | (3/59) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 29.2\% |  | (14/48) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 27.2\% |  | (65 / 239) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 30.2\% |  | (81/268) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 30.7\% |  | (79 / 257) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 18.2\% |  | (2 / 11) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 32.8\% |  | (44/134) |
|  |  |  | Male | 27.6\% |  | (37 / 134) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 35.5\% |  | (76 / 214) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 9.3\% |  | ( $5 / 54$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 22.0\% |  | (9/41) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 31.7\% |  | (72 / 227) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 21-22 | All | All | 33.3\% |  | (82 / 246) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 33.2\% |  | (75 / 226) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 35.0\% |  | (7/20) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 31.4\% |  | (37/118) |
|  |  |  | Male | 35.2\% |  | (45 / 128) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 38.6\% |  | (76 / 197) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 12.2\% |  | (6/49) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 25.5\% |  | $(14 / 55)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 35.6\% |  | (68/191) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 25.2\% |  | (67 / 266) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 25.0\% |  | (63 / 252) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 28.6\% |  | (4/14) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 22.6\% |  | (28 / 124) |
|  |  |  | Male | 27.5\% |  | (39 / 142) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 30.0\% |  | (64/213) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.7\% |  | (3/53) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 18.2\% |  | (12 / 66) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 27.5\% |  | (55 / 200) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 39.8\% |  | (119 / 299) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 40.8\% |  | (113 / 277) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 27.3\% |  | (6/22) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 33.8\% |  | (46 / 136) |
|  |  |  | Male | 44.8\% |  | (73 / 163) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 49.1\% |  | (109 / 222) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 13.0\% |  | $(10 / 77)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 27.3\% |  | (15 / 55) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 42.6\% |  | (104 / 244) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 46.3\% |  | (120 / 259) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 47.5\% |  | (116 / 244) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 26.7\% |  | (4/15) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 47.1\% |  | (56 / 119) |
|  |  |  | Male | 45.7\% |  | (64 / 140) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 56.7\% |  | $(110 / 194)$ |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 15.4\% |  | $(10 / 65)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 29.5\% |  | (13/44) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 49.8\% |  | (107 / 215) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 40.1\% |  | (115 / 287) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 41.0\% |  | (111 / 271) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 25.0\% |  | (4/16) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 35.0\% |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Page } 137)^{1} \\ (48 \text { of } \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SC READY \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 16-17 | Gender | Male | 44.7\% |  | (67 / 150) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 47.8\% |  | (109 / 228) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 10.2\% |  | (6/59) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 28.6\% |  | (14/49) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 42.4\% |  | $(101 / 238)$ |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 37.7\% |  | (101 / 268) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 38.5\% |  | (99 / 257) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 18.2\% |  | (2 / 11) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 36.6\% |  | (49 / 134) |
|  |  |  | Male | 38.8\% |  | (52 / 134) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 41.6\% |  | (89 / 214) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 22.2\% |  | (12/54) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 26.8\% |  | (11/41) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 39.6\% |  | (90 / 227) |



|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science | 16-17 | Gender | Female | 30.7\% |  | (31/101) |
|  |  |  | Male | 31.6\% |  | (31/98) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 36.9\% |  | (59 / 160) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 7.7\% |  | (3/39) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 19.4\% |  | (6/31) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 33.3\% |  | ( $56 / 168$ ) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 46.2\% |  | (78 / 169) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 46.3\% |  | (76 / 164) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 40.0\% |  | $(2 / 5)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 51.2\% |  | (44/86) |
|  |  |  | Male | 41.0\% |  | (34/83) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 54.5\% |  | (72 / 132) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 16.2\% |  | (6/37) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 40.0\% |  | (10/25) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 47.2\% |  | (68/144) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 54.5\% |  | (97 / 178) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 54.4\% |  | (93/171) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 57.1\% |  | (4/7) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 57.1\% |  | (40/70) |
|  |  |  | Male | 52.8\% |  | (57/108) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 62.7\% |  | (84/134) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 29.5\% |  | (13/44) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 41.7\% |  | (10/24) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 56.5\% |  | (87/154) |
|  | 13-14 | All | All | 55.7\% |  | (102 / 183) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 55.7\% |  | (102 / 183) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 50.6\% |  | (42 / 83) |
|  |  |  | Male | 60.0\% |  | (60/100) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.2\% |  | (92 / 141) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 23.8\% |  | (10/42) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 40.7\% |  | (11/27) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 58.1\% |  | (90/155) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies | 18-19 | All | All | 53.3\% |  | $(48 / 90)$ |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 55.3\% |  | (47/85) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 20.0\% |  | $(1 / 5)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 55.3\% |  | $(21 / 38)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 51.9\% |  | (27/52) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 62.0\% |  | (44/71) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 21.1\% |  | (4/19) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 44.4\% |  | $(8 / 18)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 55.6\% |  | (40/72) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 58.2\% |  | (53/91) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 60.2\% |  | (53 / 88) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/3) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 50.0\% |  | (21/42) |
|  |  |  | Male | 65.3\% |  | (32 / 49) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 60.0\% |  | (45 / 75) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 50.0\% |  | (8/16) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 42.9\% |  | (6/14) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.0\% |  | (47 / 77) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 51.8\% |  | (103 / 199) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 52.9\% |  | (100 / 189) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 30.0\% |  | (3/10) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 49.5\% |  | (50 / 101) |
|  |  |  | Male | 54.1\% |  | (53 / 98) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 57.5\% |  | (92 / 160) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.2\% |  | (11/39) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 45.2\% |  | (14/31) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 53.0\% |  | (89 / 168) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 62.7\% |  | (106 / 169) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 62.2\% |  | (102 / 164) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 80.0\% |  | (4/5) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 67.4\% |  | (58/86) |
|  |  |  | Male | 57.8\% |  | (48/83) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 70.5\% |  | (93 / 132) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 35.1\% |  | (13/37) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 64.0\% |  | (16 / 25) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 62.5\% |  | (90 / 144) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 62.4\% |  | (111 / 178) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 61.4\% |  | (105 / 171) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 85.7\% |  | $(6 / 7)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 60.0\% |  | $\left.{ }^{(42} / 70\right)$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | SCPASS \% Meets or Exceeds for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Studies | 14-15 | Gender | Male | 63.9\% |  | (69 / 108) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 65.7\% |  | (88/134) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 52.3\% |  | (23/44) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 66.7\% |  | (16/24) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 61.7\% |  | (95 / 154) |
|  | 13-14 | All | All | 73.7\% |  | (137 / 186) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 73.7\% |  | (137 / 186) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 82.1\% |  | (69 / 84) |
|  |  |  | Male | 66.7\% |  | (68 / 102) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 81.5\% |  | (119 / 146) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 45.0\% |  | $(18 / 40)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 72.0\% |  | (18/25) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 73.9\% |  | (119 / 161) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerated/ Eagles | 21-22 | All | All | 4.1\% |  | (11/266) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.3\% |  | (10/235) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 3.2\% |  | ( $1 / 31$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 3.1\% |  | (4/130) |
|  |  |  | Male | 5.1\% |  | (7/136) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 5.2\% |  | (11/211) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/55) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 3.7\% |  | ( $3 / 81$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 4.3\% |  | (8/185) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 6.8\% |  | $(21 / 308)$ |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 7.0\% |  | (19/272) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.6\% |  | ( $2 / 36$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 4.2\% |  | (6/142) |
|  |  |  | Male | 9.0\% |  | $(15 / 166)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 8.5\% |  | ( $21 / 246$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/62) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 3.2\% |  | ( $3 / 93$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 8.4\% |  | (18/215) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 7.1\% |  | (21/295) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 7.5\% |  | (20/267) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 3.6\% |  | ( $1 / 28$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 3.0\% |  | (4/133) |
|  |  |  | Male | 10.5\% |  | (17/162) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 9.1\% |  | (20/219) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.3\% |  | $(1 / 76)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.4\% |  | ( $5 / 78$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 7.4\% |  | $(16 / 217)$ |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 10.1\% |  | (32/316) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 10.9\% |  | ( $31 / 284$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 3.1\% |  | (1/32) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 8.7\% |  | (13/149) |
|  |  |  | Male | 11.4\% |  | (19 / 167) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 13.1\% |  | (31/237) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.3\% | - | ( $1 / 79$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 7.5\% |  | $(6 / 80)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 11.0\% |  | (26/236) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 12.8\% |  | ( $34 / 266$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 13.9\% |  | $\mathrm{P}(34 ⿻)$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent Enrolled in one or more courses at the grouped level Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accelerated/ Eagles | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/24) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 16.7\% |  | (20 / 120) |
|  |  |  | Male | 9.6\% |  | (14/146) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 16.0\% |  | (33 / 206) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.6\% |  | ( $1 / 62$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 4.4\% |  | ( $3 / 68$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 15.7\% |  | (31/198) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 12.4\% |  | (38 / 306) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 13.3\% |  | (38/286) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/20) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 15.1\% |  | (22 / 146) |
|  |  |  | Male | 10.0\% |  | (16/160) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 14.7\% |  | (36/245) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.3\% |  | ( $2 / 61$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 3.4\% |  | ( $2 / 58$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 14.5\% |  | (36/248) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 9.9\% |  | (29 / 292) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 10.5\% |  | (29 / 276) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/16) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 10.7\% |  | (16/150) |
|  |  |  | Male | 9.2\% |  | (13/142) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 12.1\% |  | (28 / 232) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.7\% |  | $(1 / 60)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 6.4\% |  | ( $3 / 47$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 10.6\% |  | (26/245) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students absent 10 percent or more of their membership days for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic <br> Absences | 21-22 | All | All | 25.1\% |  | (127 / 506) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 25.8\% |  | (116/450) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 19.6\% |  | (11/56) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 26.4\% |  | (66/250) |
|  |  |  | Male | 23.8\% |  | (61/256) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 20.9\% |  | (79 / 378) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 37.5\% |  | (48/128) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 29.6\% |  | (45/152) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 23.2\% |  | (82 / 354) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 34.4\% |  | (196/570) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 33.9\% |  | (174/514) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 39.3\% |  | (22 / 56) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 35.2\% |  | (93/264) |
|  |  |  | Male | 33.7\% |  | (103/306) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 33.3\% |  | (147 / 442) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 38.3\% |  | (49 / 128) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 43.8\% |  | (78/178) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 30.1\% |  | (118/392) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 16.6\% |  | (96/579) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 16.9\% |  | (91/539) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 12.5\% |  | ( $5 / 40$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 18.9\% |  | (51/270) |
|  |  |  | Male | 14.6\% |  | (45 / 309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 15.5\% |  | (67/431) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 19.6\% |  | (29 / 148) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 11.9\% |  | (19 / 159) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 18.3\% |  | (77 / 420) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 18.7\% |  | (116/619) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 19.8\% |  | (114/577) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 4.8\% |  | ( $2 / 42$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 18.9\% |  | (58/307) |
|  |  |  | Male | 18.6\% |  | (58/312) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 16.9\% |  | (79 / 467) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 24.3\% |  | ( $37 / 152$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 13.6\% |  | (22 / 162) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 20.6\% |  | (94/457) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 13.4\% |  | $(75 / 561)$ |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students absent 10 percent or more of their membership days for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic Absences | 17-18 | ELL | Not ELL | 16.0\% |  | (87/543) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 6.9\% |  | (2 / 29) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 13.9\% |  | (37 / 267) |
|  |  |  | Male | 12.9\% |  | (38/294) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 12.5\% |  | (55 / 440) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 16.5\% |  | (21 / 127) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 14.2\% |  | (19 / 134) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 13.1\% |  | ( $56 / 427$ ) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 13.1\% |  | (88/671) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 13.7\% |  | (87 / 636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.9\% |  | (1/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 13.5\% |  | $(43 / 318)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 12.7\% |  | (45 / 353) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 12.1\% |  | (65 / 535) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 16.9\% |  | (23 / 136) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 15.9\% |  | (18/113) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 12.5\% |  | (70 / 558) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 13.2\% |  | (89 / 672) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 13.7\% |  | (87/634) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.6\% |  | (2 / 36) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 14.1\% |  | $(46 / 326)$ |
|  |  |  | Male | 12.4\% |  | (43 / 346) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 12.1\% |  | $(64 / 528)$ |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 17.4\% |  | (25 / 144) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 8.9\% |  | (9 / 101) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 14.0\% |  | $(80 / 571)$ |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 8.2\% |  | (53 / 647) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 8.6\% |  | (52/607) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.6\% |  | (1/38) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 7.6\% |  | (23/302) |
|  |  |  | Male | 8.7\% |  | (30/345) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 8.9\% |  | (45 / 504) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.6\% |  | (8/143) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 7.1\% |  | (7/99) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 8.4\% |  | $(46 / 548)$ |



|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Referrals | 17-18 | ELL | ELL | 9.5\% |  | (4/42) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 11.6\% |  | (33/285) |
|  |  |  | Male | 24.3\% |  | (75 / 309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 14.3\% |  | (63/441) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 29.4\% |  | (45 / 153) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 19.2\% |  | (19/99) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 18.0\% |  | (89 / 495) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 20.3\% |  | (136/671) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 21.2\% |  | (135/636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.9\% |  | ( $1 / 35$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 11.6\% |  | (37/318) |
|  |  |  | Male | 28.0\% |  | (99 / 353) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 18.5\% |  | (99 / 535) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 27.2\% |  | (37 / 136) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 14.4\% |  | (16/111) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 21.4\% |  | (120/560) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 21.0\% |  | (141/672) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 22.0\% |  | (140 / 636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.8\% |  | ( $1 / 36$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 10.1\% |  | (33/326) |
|  |  |  | Male | 31.2\% |  | (108/346) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 17.4\% |  | (92 / 528) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 34.0\% |  | (49 / 144) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 13.3\% |  | (14/105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 22.4\% |  | (127 / 567) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 19.8\% |  | (128 / 647) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 20.4\% |  | (124/609) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 10.5\% |  | (4/38) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 9.3\% |  | (28 / 302) |
|  |  |  | Male | 29.0\% |  | (100/345) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 17.3\% |  | (87/504) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 28.7\% |  | (41 / 143) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 15.2\% |  | (16/105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 20.7\% |  | (112/542) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In School Suspensions | 21-22 | All | All | 1.6\% | - | (8/506) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 1.6\% |  | (7/450) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.8\% | - | ( $1 / 56$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.8\% |  | ( $2 / 250$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 2.3\% |  | (6/256) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.8\% |  | (3/378) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.9\% |  | ( $5 / 128$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 3.2\% |  | (4/124) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 1.0\% |  | (4/382) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 1.2\% | I | (7/570) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 1.4\% |  | (7/514) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | ( $0 / 56$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.4\% |  | (1/264) |
|  |  |  | Male | 2.0\% | - | (6/306) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 1.4\% | I | (6/442) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.8\% | \| | (1/128) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.7\% | \| | $(1 / 134)$ |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 1.4\% |  | (6/436) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 0.3\% |  | ( 2 / 579) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.4\% |  | ( $2 / 527$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | ( $0 / 52$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.7\% |  | ( $2 / 270$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.0\% |  | (0/309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.2\% |  | (1/431) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.7\% | \| | (1/148) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.9\% | \| | (1/112) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.2\% |  | (1/467) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 0.3\% |  | (2/619) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.4\% |  | ( $2 / 558$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | ( $0 / 61$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.3\% |  | (1 / 307) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.3\% |  | (1/312) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.4\% |  | ( $2 / 467$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/152) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.8\% | \| | (1/124) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.2\% |  | (1/495) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 0.3\% |  | (2/594) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.4\% |  | ( $2 / 552$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | Pa(89 4zi) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In School Suspensions | 17-18 | Gender | Female | 0.0\% |  | (0 / 285) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.6\% |  | (2 / 309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/441) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.3\% | I | ( $2 / 153$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 1.0\% | I | (1/99) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.2\% |  | (1/495) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 0.1\% |  | $(1 / 671)$ |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.2\% |  | (1/636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.3\% |  | ( $1 / 318$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.0\% |  | (0/353) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/535) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.7\% | 1 | $(1 / 136)$ |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.0\% |  | (0/111) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.2\% |  | $(1 / 560)$ |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 0.4\% | 1 | (3/672) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.5\% |  | (3/636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/36) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.0\% |  | (0/326) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.9\% | I | ( 3 / 346) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.4\% |  | (2/528) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 0.7\% | \| | (1/144) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.0\% |  | (0/105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.5\% |  | ( $3 / 567$ ) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 0.9\% | - | (6/647) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.8\% | I | ( $5 / 609$ ) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 2.6\% |  | (1/38) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.0\% |  | (0/302) |
|  |  |  | Male | 1.7\% |  | (6/345) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.6\% |  | ( $3 / 504$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 2.1\% |  | (3/143) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 1.9\% | $\square$ | (2 / 105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.7\% |  | (4/542) |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of School Suspensions | 21-22 | All | All | 4.0\% |  | ( $20 / 506$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.2\% |  | (19/450) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.8\% | $\square$ | $(1 / 56)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 1.6\% |  | (4/250) |
|  |  |  | Male | 6.3\% |  | (16/256) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 4.2\% |  | (16/378) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.1\% |  | (4/128) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 4.0\% |  | ( $5 / 124$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 3.9\% |  | (15 / 382) |
|  | 20-21 | All | All | 2.1\% | $\square$ | (12/570) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 2.1\% |  | (11/514) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.8\% | $\square$ | $(1 / 56)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.8\% |  | ( $2 / 264$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 3.3\% |  | $(10 / 306)$ |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 1.8\% | $\square$ | (8/442) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 3.1\% |  | (4/128) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 0.7\% | \| | (1/134) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.5\% |  | (11/436) |
|  | 19-20 | All | All | 2.4\% | $\square$ | (14/579) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 2.7\% |  | (14/527) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | ( $0 / 52$ ) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 1.1\% | I | ( $3 / 270$ ) |
|  |  |  | Male | 3.6\% |  | (11/309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 1.2\% | $\square$ | ( $5 / 431$ ) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 6.1\% |  | ( $9 / 148$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 2.7\% |  | ( $3 / 112$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.4\% |  | (11/467) |
|  | 18-19 | All | All | 0.3\% |  | ( $2 / 619$ ) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 0.2\% |  | (1/558) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 1.6\% | - | $(1 / 61)$ |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 0.0\% |  | (0/307) |
|  |  |  | Male | 0.6\% |  | ( $2 / 312$ ) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 0.0\% |  | (0/467) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 1.3\% | I | ( $2 / 152$ ) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 1.6\% | - | ( $2 / 124$ ) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 0.0\% |  | (0/495) |
|  | 17-18 | All | All | 4.7\% |  | (28/594) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.7\% |  | $(26 / 552)$ |
|  |  |  | ELL | 4.8\% |  | $\left.\mathrm{Pa}(2994)^{2}\right)^{8}$ of |


|  | Year | Group | Subgroup | \% | Percent of students with one or more referrals or suspensions for Forts Pond Elementary School | Number of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Out of School Suspensions | 17-18 | Gender | Female | 1.1\% | 1 | (3/285) |
|  |  |  | Male | 8.1\% |  | (25 / 309) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 2.9\% |  | (13/441) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 9.8\% |  | (15 / 153) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 8.1\% |  | (8/99) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 4.0\% |  | (20 / 495) |
|  | 16-17 | All | All | 3.1\% |  | (21/671) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 3.3\% |  | (21/636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/35) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 2.2\% |  | (7/318) |
|  |  |  | Male | 4.0\% |  | (14/353) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 2.6\% |  | (14/535) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 5.1\% |  | (7/136) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 1.8\% | $\square$ | (2 / 111) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 3.4\% |  | (19 / 560) |
|  | 15-16 | All | All | 4.2\% |  | (28 / 672) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 4.4\% |  | (28/636) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 0.0\% |  | (0/36) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 1.8\% |  | (6/326) |
|  |  |  | Male | 6.4\% |  | (22 / 346) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 3.6\% |  | (19 / 528) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 6.3\% |  | (9/144) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 2.9\% |  | (3/105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 4.4\% |  | (25 / 567) |
|  | 14-15 | All | All | 3.1\% |  | (20/647) |
|  |  | ELL | Not ELL | 3.0\% |  | (18/609) |
|  |  |  | ELL | 5.3\% |  | (2 / 38) |
|  |  | Gender | Female | 1.0\% | , | (3/302) |
|  |  |  | Male | 4.9\% |  | (17/345) |
|  |  | InstrSetting | Not Special Ed | 2.8\% |  | (14/504) |
|  |  |  | Special Ed | 4.2\% |  | (6/143) |
|  |  | Race | Black / Latinx | 5.7\% |  | (6/105) |
|  |  |  | White / Other | 2.6\% |  | (14/542) |

## SCDE Survey Results

## 0-74.9\% Red $\quad 75-84.9 \%$ Yellow $85 \%$ plus Green

Question

## CURRENT WORKING CONDITIONS

I have sufficient space in my classroom to meet the educational needs of my students.

I have access to reliable communication technology, including phone, fax, and e-mail.

I feel supported by administrators at my school.

The faculty and staff at my school have a shared vision.

I am familiar with local, state, and national policies and how they affect teaching and learning.

Local, state, or national policies assist me in meeting the educational needs of my students.

The school leadership makes a sustained effort to address teacher concerns.

My decisions in areas such as instruction and student progress are supported.

| $20-21$ |  | 43 | $90.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18-19$ |  | 49 | $83.7 \%$ |


| $21-22$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $21-22$ |  |  |  |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 49 |


| $21-22$ |  |  | 39 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 49 |
| $21-22$ |  |  | 39 |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 49 |


| $21-22$ |  |  | 39 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 49 |


| $21-22$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  |


| $18-19$ |  |  | 43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $21-22$ |  | 39 | $82.1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 | $81.4 \%$ |  |  |
| $18-19$ |  | 49 | $79.6 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $21-22$ |  | 38 | $92.1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 | $83.7 \%$ |  |  |
| $18-19$ |  |  | 49 | $89.8 \%$ |  |  |
| $21-22$ |  |  | 39 | $82.1 \%$ |  |  |
| $20-21$ |  |  | 43 | $95.3 \%$ |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mıハ doricinnc in aroac curh ac inctrurtinn and ctudo | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.9\% |  |  |
| Teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 92.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.8\% |  |  |
| I feel comfortable raising issues and concerns that are important to me. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 82.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 86.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 87.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 93.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.8\% |  |  |
| My class sizes allow me to meet the educational needs of my students. | 21-22 |  |  | 37 | 51.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 79.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 61.3\% |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH MY CURRENT WORKING CONDITIONS. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 87.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 93.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.9\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH HOME-SCHOOL RELATIONS. | 21-22 | 204 | 94.6\% |  |  | 30 | 86.6\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 87.7\% | 43 | 83.7\% | 73 | 68.5\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 82.6\% | 49 | 87.8\% | 52 | 75.0\% |
| My parent knows what I am expected to learn in school. | 21-22 | 203 | 92.2\% |  |  | 32 | 87.5\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 76.3\% |  |  | 73 | 75.3\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 88.8\% |  |  | 55 | 85.4\% |
| My parent knows how well I am doing in school. | 21-22 | 205 | 96.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 93.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 79 | 94.9\% |  |  |  |  |
| My school informs parents about school programs and activities. | 21-22 | 205 | 94.7\% | 39 | 97.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% | 43 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 93.8\% | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school know their children's homework assignments. | 21-22 | 164 | 85.4\% | 38 | 63.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 72.8\% | 43 | 72.1\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 77.5\% | 49 | 87.8\% |  |  |
| My parent helps me with my homework when I need it. | 21-22 | 179 | 85.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 74.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 79 | 77.2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Parents are welcomed at my school. | 21-22 | 204 | 94.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 97.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| Parents volunteer and participate in activities at my school. | 21-22 | 197 | 89.8\% | 37 | 54.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 79.8\% | 43 | 51.2\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 87.5\% | 49 | 71.4\% |  |  |
| My child's teachers contact me to say good things about my child. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 33 | 84.8\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 84.9\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 54 | 57.4\% |
| My child's teachers tell me how I can help my child learn. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 33 | 93.9\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 68 | 72.0\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 64.2\% |
| Page 32 of 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My child's teachers invite me to visit my child's classrooms during the school day. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 33 | 94.0\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 71 | 78.8\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 56.6\% |
| My child's school returns my phone calls or e-mails promptly. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 84.4\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 72 | 88.9\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 90.2\% |
| Parents are involved in school decisions. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 89.5\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 83.8\% | 73 | 90.4\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 83.6\% | 52 | 90.4\% |
| My child's school considers changes based on what parents say. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 96.8\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 54.8\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 54 | 76.0\% |
| My child's school schedules activities at times that I can attend. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 96.8\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 60.2\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 81.1\% |
| My child's school treats all students fairly. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 100.0\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 95.9\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 51 | 78.5\% |
| The principal at my child's school is available and welcoming. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 32 | 100.1\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 97.3\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 55 | 91.0\% |
| Parents at my school are aware of school policies. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 86.8\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 93.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school understand the school's instructional programs. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 68.5\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 86.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 91.8\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school support instructional decisions regarding their children. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 76.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 88.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.9\% |  |  |
| Parents attend conferences requested by teachers at my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 68.5\% | ge 33 | of 63 |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parents attend conferences requested by teachers at my school. | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 88.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 87.8\% |  |  |
| Parents at my school cooperate regarding discipline problems. | 21-22 |  |  | 36 | 75.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 83.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 83.6\% |  |  |
| Parents attend school meetings and other school events. | 21-22 |  |  | 37 | 75.6\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 76.8\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 89.8\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents Percent Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEARNING ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT IN MY SCHOOL. | 21-22 | 201 | 95.6\% | 39 | 77.0\% | 34 | 97.1\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 87.7\% | 43 | 90.7\% | 73 | 54.7\% |
|  | 18-19 | 79 | 82.3\% | 49 | 96.0\% | 54 | 94.4\% |
| My classes are challenging (not too easy; they make me think). | 21-22 | 202 | 76.7\% | 39 | 92.3\% | 34 | 97.0\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 74.6\% | 43 | 100.0\% | 73 | 80.9\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 72.6\% | 49 | 97.9\% | 53 | 96.3\% |
| My teachers want me to understand what I am learning, not just remember facts. | 21-22 | 200 | 95.5\% | 38 | 94.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% | 43 | 97.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 95.0\% | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| My teachers expect students to learn. | 21-22 | 207 | 96.6\% | 39 | 89.8\% | 34 | 97.1\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 97.4\% | 43 | 97.6\% | 73 | 95.9\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 100.0\% | 49 | 98.0\% | 54 | 98.2\% |
| My teachers expect students to behave. | 21-22 | 203 | 96.5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 93.9\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| My teachers spend enough time helping me learn. | 21-22 | 204 | 92.1\% | 39 | 89.8\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 88.6\% | 43 | 90.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 85.1\% | 49 | 89.8\% |  |  |
| My teachers help students when they do not understand something. | 21-22 | 206 | 98.1\% | 39 | 87.2\% | 34 | 97.1\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 93.9\% | 43 | 90.7\% | 73 | 83.6\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 92.6\% | 49 | 98.0\% | 54 | 87.0\% |
| My teachers do a good job teaching me mathematics. | 21-22 | 208 | 97.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 94.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 92.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| My teachers do a good job teaching me English language arts. | 21-22 | 203 | 96.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 94.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 97.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| My teachers give tests on what I learn in class. | 21-22 | 206 | 96.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 98.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 96.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Page 35 of 63 |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My teachers give homework assignments that help me learn better. | 21-22 | 153 | 74.5\% |  |  | 27 | 88.9\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 56.2\% |  |  | 73 | 64.4\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 66.3\% |  |  | 54 | 77.7\% |
| My classes are interesting and fun. | 21-22 | 204 | 90.2\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 86.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 78.8\% |  |  |  |  |
| Students at my school believe they can do good work. | 21-22 | 202 | 89.1\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 84.2\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 80.1\% |  |  |  |  |
| My teachers praise students when they do good work. | 21-22 | 206 | 91.7\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 84.2\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 85.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Work done by students can be seen on the walls of my school. | 21-22 | 205 | 87.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 82.5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 96.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| The textbooks and workbooks I use at my school really help me to learn. | 21-22 | 200 | 86.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 72.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 66.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| The media center at my school has a good selection of books. | 21-22 | 206 | 88.8\% | 38 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 86.0\% | 43 | 97.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 83.8\% | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| I use computers and other technology at my school to help me learn. | 21-22 | 205 | 96.6\% | 39 | 77.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 98.3\% | 43 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 96.3\% | 49 | 87.7\% |  |  |
| Teachers at my school effectively implement the State Curriculum Standards. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 84.6\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 97.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 98.0\% |  |  |
| Student assessment information is effectively used by teachers to plan instruction. | 21-22 |  |  | 37 | 86.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 97.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| My school offers effective programs for students with disabilities. | 21-22 |  |  | 37 | 100.0\% | age 36 | of 63 |


| Question | Year | Students | Student Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents Percent Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My school offers effective programs for students with disabilities. | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 97.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 98.0\% |  |  |
| Instructional strategies are used to meet the needs of academically gifted students. | 21-22 |  |  | 34 | 88.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 89.8\% |  |  |
| The level of teacher and staff morale is high at my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 61.5\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 69.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 87.8\% |  |  |
| Teachers respect each other at my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 87.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 88.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 91.9\% |  |  |
| Teachers at my school are recognized and appreciated for good work. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 71.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 74.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 85.7\% |  |  |
| Students at my school are motivated and interested in learning. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 74.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 88.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 93.9\% |  |  |
| There are sufficient materials and supplies available for classroom and instructional use. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 97.5\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| Our school has sufficient computers for instructional use. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 96.0\% |  |  |
| There are relevant professional development opportunities offered to teachers at my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 89.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 98.0\% |  |  |
| The school administration communicates clear instructional goals for the school. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 84.6\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 97.6\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 98.0\% |  |  |
| The school administration sets high standards for students. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 84.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The crhnnl adminictratinn eate hinh ctandarde fnre | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| The school administration has high expectations for teacher performance. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 89.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 98.0\% |  |  |
| The school administration provides effective instructional leadership. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 82.0\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 90.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| Student assessment information is used to set goals and plan programs for my school. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 92.1\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 97.6\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| Teacher evaluation at my school focuses on instructional improvement. | 21-22 |  |  | 39 | 84.6\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 90.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 97.9\% |  |  |
| School administrators visit classrooms to observe instruction. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 76.4\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 90.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| The school administration arranges for collaberative planning and decision making. | 21-22 |  |  | 38 | 86.9\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  | 43 | 83.7\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |


| Question | Year | Students | Student Percent Positive | Teachers | Teachers Percent Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I AM SATISFIED WITH THE SOCIAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT AT MY SCHOOL. | 21-22 | 203 | 94.1\% | 39 | 94.9\% | 33 | 100.0\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% | 43 | 93.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 88.8\% | 49 | 95.9\% | 56 | 94.6\% |
| The grounds around my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 205 | 88.7\% | 39 | 89.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 81.6\% | 43 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 82.6\% | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| The hallways at my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 207 | 94.2\% | 39 | 92.3\% | 31 | 100.0\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 93.0\% | 43 | 100.0\% | 73 | 83.6\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 90.0\% | 49 | 100.0\% | 55 | 96.3\% |
| The bathrooms at my school are kept clean. | 21-22 | 204 | 63.2\% | 39 | 94.9\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 56.1\% | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 63.8\% | 49 | 95.9\% |  |  |
| Broken things at my school get fixed. | 21-22 | 196 | 92.4\% | 39 | 92.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% | 43 | 100.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 91.3\% | 49 | 100.0\% |  |  |
| There is enough room for students to learn at my school. | 21-22 | 205 | 95.6\% | 38 | 86.9\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 92.1\% | 43 | 88.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 97.6\% | 49 | 83.7\% |  |  |
| Students at my school behave well in class. | 21-22 | 196 | 70.9\% | 39 | 69.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 55.2\% | 43 | 93.1\% | 73 | 93.2\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 68.8\% | 49 | 89.8\% | 54 | 76.0\% |
| Students at my school behave well in the hallways, in the lunchroom, and on school grounds. | 21-22 | 203 | 60.1\% | 39 | 69.3\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 69.3\% | 43 | 93.0\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 66.3\% | 49 | 89.8\% |  |  |
| Students at my school know the rules and what happens when students break the rules. | 21-22 | 204 | 94.6\% | 39 | 66.7\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 86.0\% | 43 | 95.3\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 88.8\% | 49 | 85.8\% |  |  |
| The rules about how students should behave in my school are fair. | 21-22 | 199 | 93.0\% | 39 | 87.2\% |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 87.7\% | 43 | 95.4\% |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 77.5\% | 49 | 91.8\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Page 39 of 63 |  |  |



| Question | Year | Students | Student <br> Percent <br> Positive | Teachers | Teachers <br> Percent <br> Positive | Parents | Parents <br> Percent <br> Positive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| **। have been bullied while going to or from school. | ${ }^{* *}$ (Denotes reverse coded questions) $0-14.9 \%$ Green 15-24.9\% Yellow 25 |  |  |  |  |  | \% plus Red |
|  | 21-22 | 180 | 24.4\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 15.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 10.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| **। have been bullied by someone from my school using a computer, a cell phone or other electronic devices. | **(Denotes reverse coded questions) 0-14.9\% Green |  |  |  | 15-24.9\% Yellow 25 |  | 25\% plus Red |
|  | 21-22 | 180 | 11.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 9.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 7.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| Adults at my school prevent bullying from happening. | 21-22 | 198 | 89.9\% | 39 | 92.3\% | 31 | 93.6\% |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 80.7\% | 43 | 93.0\% | 73 | 63.0\% |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 87.6\% | 49 | 95.9\% | 54 | 77.7\% |
| I can always go to adults at my school if I am being bullied. | 21-22 | 201 | 93.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 88.6\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 92.6\% |  |  |  |  |
| An adult at my school has talked to me about bullying. | 21-22 | 198 | 86.3\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 | 114 | 93.8\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 | 80 | 90.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| My child's teachers care about my child as an individual. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 85.2\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 87.7\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 55 | 94.6\% |
| My child's school has an anti-bullying program to prevent or deal with bullying. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  | 27 | 85.2\% |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 83.6\% |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 55 | 80.0\% |
| The IGP conference was beneficial to my child as he/she prepares to be promoted to the next grade level. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 52.6\% |
| During the IGP conference, the counselors discussed my child's academic progress and his/her career goals. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 38 | 52.6\% |
| I recommend that all parents/guardians attend IGP conferences with their children. | 21-22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18-19 |  |  |  |  | 37 | 54.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Page 41 of 63 |  |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

School Name: Forts Pond Elementary School

Per SBE Regulation 43-261, the annual needs assessment will provide focus for planning teams to set priorities for the plan. The comprehensive needs assessment must identify targeted areas of discrepancy between the desired performance levels and the current status as indicated by available data. Any discrepancies in the following areas identified by the school and district report cards must be included in the plan: (1) achievement, (2) achievement by subgroups, (3) graduation rates, (4) attendance, (5) discipline, (6) teacher/administrator quality and professional growth, and (7) other priority areas.

Measurable performance goals, written in five-year increments, shall be developed to address the major areas of discrepancy found in the needs assessment in key areas reported in the district and school report cards.

State Report Card for districts and schools data:
http://ed.sc.gov/data/report-cards/state-report-cards/
Directions: In the appropriate boxes, use school data to identify areas in need of improvement. Required areas to be addressed: Student Achievement, Teacher/Administrator Quality, and School Climate.


## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

|  | workshop model, including providing small group interventions to meet the needs of all my students. <br> - I can utilize knowledge gained from LETRS and my understanding of how children learn to read and spell to describe what skills support proficient reading. <br> - I can implement an effective multi-tiered system of support to address the needs of all students. <br> In our recent data dive, we have determined the following next steps to improve out students' growth: <br> - Continue to look at multiple pieces of data to drive instruction and implement an effective MTSS. Also, continue to promote the understanding of triangulation of data. <br> - Use PLC time intentionally to look at data and begin creating groups and how we will differentiate those groups (including implementing some flexible groups amongst teachers). <br> - Utilize coaching cycles and model classroom visits. Create in-house model classroom visits for teachers to see and gain ideas from others. <br> - Consider dissolving departmentalization in the upper grade-levels as students are not growing in the anticipated ways. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher/Administrator Quality | At Forts Pond Elementary School, all stakeholders collectively wrote a mission statement this year. The mission statement is: <br> - We trust each other. <br> - We care. <br> - We work together to do our best. <br> - We celebrate every person. <br> - We are the Mighty Mallards. <br> At Forts Pond Elementary School, we understand the importance of effective collaboration in PLCs and across vertical teams/support services in order to implement a data-driven culture that supports a multi-tiered system of supports to address the needs of all students. Through the intentional work of professional learning communities, teachers are able to implement the South Carolina 4.0 Rubric for teaching standards. In order to support professional development opportunities as well as allow teachers to develop creative, innovative solutions to school problems, we have and continue to empower teachers through leadership and coaching opportunities. <br> In order to support transparency, buy-in, and accountability, FPES has engaged in multiple forms of Learning Walks as well as provide immediate individual and school-wide feedback. The school leadership team as well as district officials and the entire teacher population have actively participated in these structures. |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| School Climate | At Forts Pond Elementary School, we have dedicated work on systems and structures that allow for a positive school culture. Below are our areas of focus based on our needs assessment: <br> - Absenteeism - FPES would like to decrease absenteeism. We understand the critical need for students to attend school as well as having effective communication between school and home. We have established an attendance as well as norms around connections with families surrounding absenteeism. <br> - Behavior - We have focused on Socio-Emotional Learning to promote a sense of belonging among students, staff, and stakeholders. We have utilized Morning Meeting, Closing Circle, student leadership opportunities, and relationship-building strategies to make students develop a sense of belonging. We have put value on having student leadership (Student council and school-wide jobs). We have prioritized celebrations (Birthdays, Positive Office Referrals, Shout-Outs, etc.) We continue to work on a multi-tiered system of support for challenging behaviors as well as an understanding of trauma-resilience in schools. <br> - Family communication and community events have been prioritized to allow families to feel connected to the school building as well as learning alongside their students. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Student Achievement:

- By the end of 22-23 school year, to increase the percentage of students in grades K-2 meeting or exceeding standard on the end of year text level by $10 \%$.


## Progress toward Goals:

| Grade-Level | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> increase) | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below / 2+ <br> GL Below) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Leve/ <br> Below / 2+ <br> GL Below) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> reduction) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | $8 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| 1 | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $92 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| 2 | $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |

## Baseline:

Based on 2021-2022 Q4 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on text levels

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

- Overall=59\%
- Kindergarten=62\%
- 1st Grade=50\%
- 2nd Grade=63\%

| Grade-Level | FALL (Q1) Text Levels <br> Meeting or Exceeding | WINTER (Q2) Text <br> Levels Meeting or <br> Exceeding | Difference (Goal is to <br> see increase) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 1 | $47 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 2 | $33 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |

- By the end of 22-23 school year, $34 \%$ of students will score proficient or exceeds on grade level standards for SC-READY ELA
- High and Urgent Need students are 1.5 times as likely to NOT be reading on grade level text by the end of year in grades K-2 as other students.
- High and Urgent Need students are 1.3 times as likely to score Not Meets or Approaches on SC READY ELA as other students.
Baseline:
Based on 2021-2022 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on ELA SC-READY were:
- Overall=32\%
- 3 rd grade $=32 \%$
- 4th grade $=35 \%$
- 5 th grade $=29 \%$

| Grade-Level | FALL IReady <br> \%Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> increase) | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below/2+ <br> GL Below) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below/ <br> GL Below) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> reduction) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $77 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 4 | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 5 | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |

## Baseline:

Based on 2021-2022 Q4 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on text levels

- Overall=59\%
- 3rd Grade=48\%
- 4th Grade=63\%
- 5th Grade=72\%


## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| Grade-Level | FALL (Q1) Text Levels <br> Meeting or Exceeding | WINTER (Q2) Text <br> Levels Meeting or <br> Exceeding | Difference (Goal is to <br> see increase) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $51 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 4 | $42 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| 5 | $59 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## Teacher/Administrator Quality:

- By the end of the 22-23 school year, at least $80 \%$ of teachers will have an average score of 3 on the Instruction domain on the SC 4.0 Rubric during administrator walk-throughs.
- Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities" and " teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems" will reach $98 \%$.

| Year | Professional Development | Innovative Solutions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $93.3 \%$ | $95.6 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $93.8 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| $2019-2020$ | COVID Shutdown | COVID Shutdown |
| $2020-2021$ | $93 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ |
| $2021-2022$ |  |  |

## School Climate:

Absenteeism - Percentage of students chronically absent will decrease by $0.5 \%$ annually.

| Year | Percentage | Excuses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $15 \%$ | 2021 - 2022 <br> Unexcused $-22 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $18.8 \%$ | PN - 32\% <br> Medical $-24 \%$ <br> 2022 - 2023 |
| $2019-2020$ | $16.6 \%$ | Unexcused - 17\% <br> PN $-36 \%$ |
| $2020-2021$ | $34.4 \%$ |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| 2021-2022 | $25.1 \%$ | Medical $-35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Currently -2022-2023 | $28.6 \%$ |  |

Percentage of students with 3 or more discipline referrals will decrease by 0.5 points annually

| Year | Percentage | Behavior |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $5.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}-\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ <br> Bus Violation-33\% <br> Hit/Kick/Push-20\% <br> Inappropriate Behavior - 18\% <br> 2022 - 2023 <br> Refusal to Obey - 18\% <br> Disrupting Class - 16\% <br> Inappropriate Behavior and <br> Hit/Kick/Push-14\% |
| $2018-2019$ | $9.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |

Percentage of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with home/school relations at my school" will reach $95 \%$.

| Year | Student Percentage | Parent Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2019-2020$ | COVID Shutdown | COVID Shutdown |
| $2020-2021$ | $87.7 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| $2021-2022$ | $94.6 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |

## System Commitments

The idea of 'system commitments'rather than strategic goals indicates that these are promises we make to ourselves, our students and our community, rather than numerical goals to check off. Annual performance goals will be driven by our commitments.

1. All students, regardless of circumstances, advance on time, prepared to graduate and ready to enter college, the military or industry with certification.
2. Teaching and learning develop power skills in all students.
3. Our schools are service-oriented centers of learning, committed to family and community partnerships.
4. Every adult will be equipped with the skills and resources necessary to advocate for and ensure the success of all students.

## Strategic Areas of Emphasis

- Literacy and Numeracy
- High Impact Teaching and Learning
- Leadership Development
- Opportunity and Access


## South Carolina State Department of Education Required Goal Categories

- Student Achievement
- Teacher and Administrator Quality
- School Climate
- Gifted and Talented


## Performance Goals

1. The district will increase the percentage of students who are progressing on-time with the requisite skills for success at their current grade levels.
2. The district will implement strategies to improve equity in high level coursework.
3. The district will implement strategies to improve performance in high level coursework.
4. The district will improve the conditions that lead to student success in each school by utilizing a system of advocacy for each child that facilitates healthy social and emotional growth.
5. The district will increase opportunities for district personnel to participate in collaborative professional learning opportunities that impact student achievement.
6. The district will implement strategies to improve customer service, parent engagement, and community involvement.

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

|  | workshop model, including providing small group interventions to meet the needs of all my students. <br> - I can utilize knowledge gained from LETRS and my understanding of how children learn to read and spell to describe what skills support proficient reading. <br> - I can implement an effective multi-tiered system of support to address the needs of all students. <br> In our recent data dive, we have determined the following next steps to improve out students' growth: <br> - Continue to look at multiple pieces of data to drive instruction and implement an effective MTSS. Also, continue to promote the understanding of triangulation of data. <br> - Use PLC time intentionally to look at data and begin creating groups and how we will differentiate those groups (including implementing some flexible groups amongst teachers). <br> - Utilize coaching cycles and model classroom visits. Create in-house model classroom visits for teachers to see and gain ideas from others. <br> - Consider dissolving departmentalization in the upper grade-levels as students are not growing in the anticipated ways. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher/Administrator Quality | At Forts Pond Elementary School, all stakeholders collectively wrote a mission statement this year. The mission statement is: <br> - We trust each other. <br> - We care. <br> - We work together to do our best. <br> - We celebrate every person. <br> - We are the Mighty Mallards. <br> At Forts Pond Elementary School, we understand the importance of effective collaboration in PLCs and across vertical teams/support services in order to implement a data-driven culture that supports a multi-tiered system of supports to address the needs of all students. Through the intentional work of professional learning communities, teachers are able to implement the South Carolina 4.0 Rubric for teaching standards. In order to support professional development opportunities as well as allow teachers to develop creative, innovative solutions to school problems, we have and continue to empower teachers through leadership and coaching opportunities. <br> In order to support transparency, buy-in, and accountability, FPES has engaged in multiple forms of Learning Walks as well as provide immediate individual and school-wide feedback. The school leadership team as well as district officials and the entire teacher population have actively participated in these structures. |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| School Climate | At Forts Pond Elementary School, we have dedicated work on systems and structures that allow for a positive school culture. Below are our areas of focus based on our needs assessment: <br> - Absenteeism - FPES would like to decrease absenteeism. We understand the critical need for students to attend school as well as having effective communication between school and home. We have established an attendance as well as norms around connections with families surrounding absenteeism. <br> - Behavior - We have focused on Socio-Emotional Learning to promote a sense of belonging among students, staff, and stakeholders. We have utilized Morning Meeting, Closing Circle, student leadership opportunities, and relationship-building strategies to make students develop a sense of belonging. We have put value on having student leadership (Student council and school-wide jobs). We have prioritized celebrations (Birthdays, Positive Office Referrals, Shout-Outs, etc.) We continue to work on a multi-tiered system of support for challenging behaviors as well as an understanding of trauma-resilience in schools. <br> - Family communication and community events have been prioritized to allow families to feel connected to the school building as well as learning alongside their students. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Student Achievement:

- By the end of 22-23 school year, to increase the percentage of students in grades K-2 meeting or exceeding standard on the end of year text level by $10 \%$.


## Progress toward Goals:

| Grade-Level | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> increase) | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below / 2+ <br> GL Below) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Leve/ <br> Below / 2+ <br> GL Below) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> reduction) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | $8 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| 1 | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $92 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| 2 | $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ |

## Baseline:

Based on 2021-2022 Q4 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on text levels

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

- Overall=59\%
- Kindergarten=62\%
- 1st Grade=50\%
- 2nd Grade=63\%

| Grade-Level | FALL (Q1) Text Levels <br> Meeting or Exceeding | WINTER (Q2) Text <br> Levels Meeting or <br> Exceeding | Difference (Goal is to <br> see increase) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| K | $67 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 1 | $47 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 2 | $33 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |

- By the end of 22-23 school year, $34 \%$ of students will score proficient or exceeds on grade level standards for SC-READY ELA
- High and Urgent Need students are 1.5 times as likely to NOT be reading on grade level text by the end of year in grades K-2 as other students.
- High and Urgent Need students are 1.3 times as likely to score Not Meets or Approaches on SC READY ELA as other students.
Baseline:
Based on 2021-2022 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on ELA SC-READY were:
- Overall=32\%
- 3 rd grade $=32 \%$
- 4th grade $=35 \%$
- 5 th grade $=29 \%$

| Grade-Level | FALL IReady <br> \%Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (Early On/ <br> Mid/Above) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> increase) | FALL IReady <br> \% Meeting <br> or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below/2+ <br> GL Below) | WINTER <br> IReady \% <br> Meeting or <br> Exceeding <br> (1 <br> Grade-Level <br> Below/ <br> GL Below) | Difference <br> (Goal is to <br> see <br> reduction) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $77 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| 4 | $14 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 5 | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $80 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |

## Baseline:

Based on 2021-2022 Q4 results, the percentages of students scoring met or exceeds on text levels

- Overall=59\%
- 3rd Grade=48\%
- 4th Grade=63\%
- 5th Grade=72\%


## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| Grade-Level | FALL (Q1) Text Levels <br> Meeting or Exceeding | WINTER (Q2) Text <br> Levels Meeting or <br> Exceeding | Difference (Goal is to <br> see increase) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $51 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| 4 | $42 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| 5 | $59 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $12 \%$ |

## Teacher/Administrator Quality:

- By the end of the 22-23 school year, at least $80 \%$ of teachers will have an average score of 3 on the Instruction domain on the SC 4.0 Rubric during administrator walk-throughs.
- Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities" and " teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems" will reach $98 \%$.

| Year | Professional Development | Innovative Solutions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $93.3 \%$ | $95.6 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $93.8 \%$ | $93.8 \%$ |
| $2019-2020$ | COVID Shutdown | COVID Shutdown |
| $2020-2021$ | $93 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ |
| $2021-2022$ |  |  |

## School Climate:

Absenteeism - Percentage of students chronically absent will decrease by $0.5 \%$ annually.

| Year | Percentage | Excuses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $15 \%$ | 2021 - 2022 <br> Unexcused $-22 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $18.8 \%$ | PN - 32\% <br> Medical $-24 \%$ <br> 2022 - 2023 |
| $2019-2020$ | $16.6 \%$ | Unexcused - 17\% <br> PN $-36 \%$ |
| $2020-2021$ | $34.4 \%$ |  |

## Executive Summary of Needs Assessment Data Findings

| 2021-2022 | $25.1 \%$ | Medical $-35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Currently -2022-2023 | $28.6 \%$ |  |

Percentage of students with 3 or more discipline referrals will decrease by 0.5 points annually

| Year | Percentage | Behavior |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017-2018$ | $5.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}-\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ <br> Bus Violation-33\% <br> Hit/Kick/Push-20\% <br> Inappropriate Behavior - 18\% <br> 2022 - 2023 <br> Refusal to Obey - 18\% <br> Disrupting Class - 16\% <br> Inappropriate Behavior and <br> Hit/Kick/Push-14\% |
| $2018-2019$ | $9.2 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |

Percentage of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with home/school relations at my school" will reach $95 \%$.

| Year | Student Percentage | Parent Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2019-2020$ | COVID Shutdown | COVID Shutdown |
| $2020-2021$ | $87.7 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| $2021-2022$ | $94.6 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ |

Performance Goal 1 : The district will increase the percentage of students who are progressing on-time with the requisite skills for success at their current grade levels.

## System Commitment(s): 1

## State Department Category: Student Achievement

Strategic Area of Emphasis: Literacy and numeracy, High impact teaching and learning

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | \% of students meeting or exceeding standard on end-of-year text levels will increase annually by 2 points. | Grades K-2 | 59.6\% <br> (Actual) | $61.6 \%$ $59.7 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ $60.7 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ $44.0 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ $55.7 \%$ | 54.9\% |
|  | \% of students meeting or exceeding standard on SC READY ELA will increase annually by 2 points. | Grades 3-5 | $\begin{aligned} & 34.8 \% \\ & \text { (Actual) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.7 \% \\ & 30.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | 38.7\% | $35.5 \%$ $25.2 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ $32.3 \%$ | 39.5\% |
|  | \% of students meeting or exceeding standard on SC READY Math will increase annually by 2 points. | Grades 3-5 | 46.3\% <br> (Actual) | $48.3 \%$ $39.8 \%$ | 50.3\% | $52.3 \%$ $25.2 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ $33.3 \%$ | 56.3\% |
|  | $\%$ of students in Tier 2 or Tier 3 interventions for math and/or reading will decrease annually by 1 point. | Grades K-5 | 23.8\% <br> (Actual) | $22.7 \%$ $20.6 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ $22.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ $22.8 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ $30.0 \%$ | 20.4\% |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 1: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. With support from the district's central services, implement a research-based instructional model in mathematics and language arts that increases student success in literacy and numeracy. | May 2018 June 2019 | ELA Coordinator, Math Coordinator, ELA and Math Leadership Teams | None | n/a | Professional learning experiences for teachers and administrators, classroom observations, student achievement data review, teacher reflection data |
| 3. Research the impact of time, space and place on student achievement and make recommendations for learner-centered scheduling decisions. | May 2018 - <br> December <br> 2018 | Principal, Secondary Director, Elementary Director | None | n/a | Scheduling recommendations for 2019-2020 |
| 4. Expand intervention approaches for all students using varied methods of service. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | RTI Coordinator, Lead Interventionist, Special Education Coordinators, GT Coordinator | None | n/a | Scheduling recommendations for 2019-2020Student achievement results measured by school-based data teams |
| 5. Leverage the SC Teaching Standards 4.0 to | May 2018 - | Principal, Assistant | None | n/a | Student engagement survey |

empower all teachers to engage all students in high June 2021
impact learning experiences that cultivate the
application of identified power skills.

Principals
results, professional learning
plans based upon
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Performance Goal 2 : The district will implement strategies to improve equity in high level coursework.
System Commitment(s): 1, 2
State Department Category: Gifted and Talented, Teacher and Administrator Quality, School Climate, Student Achievement

## Strategic Area of Emphasis:

Opportunity and Access, High impact teaching and learning

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | Gifted enrollment equity indices for minority groups will increase by 0.03 annually. | Grades 3-5 | 0.328 | 0.357 | 0.387 | 0.628 | 0.658 | 0.688 |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 0.457 | 0.598 | 0.513 | 1.034 |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 2: |  |  | Evaluation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of <br> planning meetings with a district support team to <br> evaluate student achievement, strategies for <br> improvement, and implementation plans for district <br> strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful <br> supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Director of <br> Assessment and <br> Accountability, <br> Elementary Director, <br> Secondary Director | None | n/a | Tiered system of support <br> matrix |
| 2. Increase school and teacher access to high quality <br> data resources in order to identify skill gaps that <br> impede individual students' access to gifted and | May 2018 - | August 2018 | Director of <br> Accountability, <br> talented programs or high level coursework. |  | None |

Performance Goal 3 : The district will implement strategies to improve performance in high level coursework.

## System Commitment(s): 1

State Department Category: Gifted and Talented, Student Achievement

## Strategic Area of Emphasis:

High Impact Teaching and Learning, Literacy and Numeracy
Reading Plan Goal: 4

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | \% scoring Exceeds on SC READY ELA subject test will increase by 1 point annually | Grades 3-5 | 12.0\% | 12.9\% | 13.9\% | 14.9\% | 15.9\% | 16.9\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 8.1\% |  | 4.5\% | 12.1\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SC READY Math subject test will increase by 1 point annually | Grades 3-5 | 23.9\% | 24.9\% | 25.9\% | 26.9\% | 27.9\% | 28.9\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 15.4\% |  | 7.1\% | 11.8\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SCPASS Science subject test will increase by 1 point annually | Grades 4-5 | 11.0\% | 11.9\% | 12.9\% | 13.9\% | 14.9\% | 15.9\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 8.6\% |  | 5.8\% | 12.5\% |  |
|  | \% scoring Exceeds on SCPASS Social Studies subject test will increase by 1 point annually | Grades 4-5 |  | 11.9\% | 12.9\% | 11.5\% | 12.5\% | 13.5\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 7.8\% |  |  |  |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 3: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Increase school and teacher access to high quality data resources in order to identify skill gaps that impede individual students' access to gifted and talented programs or high level coursework. | May 2018 August 2018 | Principal, Director of Accountability | None | n/a | District Data Dashboard |
| 3. Provide supports to students based on identified needs to cultivate growth in the skillsets needed to qualify for enrichment and accelerated coursework. | May 2018 August 2018 | Principal, ELA Coordinator, Math Coordinator, GT Coordinator | None | n/a | Curriculum Unit Plans, SLOs documenting approaches used to achieve specific growth targets for individual students, student achievement results |
| 4. Review and revise coursework at all levels to be more inclusive and responsive to all students' backgrounds and varied experiences. | May 2018 June 2019 | Content Coordinators, Lead Teachers | None | n/a | Comparison of assessment results from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019, revised CUPs, revised assessments |
| 5. Research and apply support structures for all students in order to increase all students' success rates and continued participation in accelerated | May 2018 June 2019 | Principal, GT Coordinator, Lead Teachers | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Comparison of student success data in high level coursework from 2017-2018 |

Performance Goal 4 : The district will improve the conditions that lead to student success in each school by utilizing a system of advocacy for each child that facilitates healthy social and emotional growth.

System Commitment(s): 1, 2, 4
State Department Category: School Climate, Teacher/Admin Quality
Strategic Area of Emphasis: Opportunities and Access, Leadership Development

## Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | \% of students chronically absent will decrease by 0.5 points annually. | Grades K-5 | 15.0\% | 14.5\% | 14.0\% | 13.5\% | 13.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 18.8\% | 16.6\% | 34.4\% | 25.1\% |  |
|  | \% of students with 3 or more discipline referrals will decrease by 0.5 points annually. | Grades K-5 | 6.1\% | 5.6\% | 5.1\% | 4.6\% | 4.1\% | 3.6\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 9.2\% | 3.8\% | 1.8\% | 4.9\% |  |
|  | SC READY equity indices for minority groups will increase by 0.03 annually. | Grades 3-5 | 0.714 | 0.744 | 0.774 | 0.804 | 0.834 | 0.864 |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 0.750 |  | 0.722 | 0.804 |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 4: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 - <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director, School Data Teams | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Establish a wellness team to support the physical, social, and emotional health of students and staff. | August 2018 | Principal, Director of School <br> Counseling,Lead Nurse | None | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Wellness team orientation program, monthly monitoring of team records. |
| 3. Utilize district Mental health resources, including counselors shared between schools, in order to provide service to students. | Fall of 2018 to Fall of 2019 | Principal, Director of School Counseling |  |  | HR Records |
| 4. Implement a system whereby each student is well known by an adult advocate who supports the student's social, emotional, and academic development. | August 2018Ongoing | Principal, Director of School Counseling, Director of Elementary Schools, Director of Secondary Schools |  |  | Status updates from School Wellness Teams and schoollevel directors of school counseling |
| 5. Student behavior policies will be reviewed and revised to ensure that appropriate interventions are used to support student success. | August 2018Ongoing | Principal, Director of Student Services |  |  | Revision of current board policies. |
| 6. Provide student-centered transition supports for students entering or leaving the school for any reason, including enrolling in or returning from alternative placement, home-based services, illness, suspension, etc. | Fall of 2018 | Principal, SLT, Director of Student Services, Director of School Counseling, Director of |  |  | Status updates from School Wellness Teams and schoollevel directors of school counseling |


|  | Elementary Schools, <br> Director of <br> Secondary Schools, <br> District RTI <br> Coordinator |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Performance Goal 5: The district will increase opportunities for district personnel to participate in collaborative professional learning opportunities that impact student achievement.

System Commitment(s): 4
State Department Category: Teacher/Admin Quality

## Strategic Area of Emphasis: Leadership Development

Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "Sufficient resources are available to allow teachers to take advantage of professional development activities." will reach $98 \%$ by 2021 |  | 93.3\% | 94.9\% | 96.4\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 93.8\% |  | 93.0\% | 87.2\% |  |
|  | Positive responses to the SCDE survey item "Teachers at my school are encouraged to develop innovative solutions to problems." will reach $98 \%$ by 2021 |  | 95.6\% | 96.4\% | 97.2\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 93.8\% |  | 95.4\% | 92.1\% |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 5: |  |  |  |  | Evaluation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The school will engage in an ongoing series of planning meetings with a district support team to evaluate student achievement, strategies for improvement, and implementation plans for district strategic initiatives, leading to more impactful supports for all students. | May 2018 <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Accountability, Elementary Director, Secondary Director | None | n/a | Tiered system of support matrix |
| 2. Design a school professional learning plan aligned with system commitments and strategic areas of emphasis (opportunities and access, literacy and numeracy, high-impact teaching and learning, and leadership development) | May 2018 - <br> December <br> 2018 | Principal, Director of <br> Professional <br> Learning and <br> Leadership <br> Development | \$22,400 | General Budget | School Professional Learning Plan |
| 3. Working with central services office of leadership development, implement a competency-based educational leadership system to develop and support teacher leaders, assistant principals, and aspiring principals. | May 2018 <br> June 2019 | Principal, Director of Professional Learning and Leadership Development, Director of Human Resources | \$108,200 | General Budget | Retention of high-performing employees, Transparency of development and hiring process at all leadership levels, Staff engagement and commitment to the development process, Increase in pool of prospective school leaders |
| 4. Design or redesign structures that empower educator collaboration and professional learning opportunities to more effectively support student outcomes. | May 2018 - <br> Nov. 2018 | Principal, Elementary Director, Secondary Director, Teacher Leadership Council | None | n/a | Proposal for changes to collaborative planning, vertical planning opportunities and schoolbased PLCs presented to principals, November 2018 |
| 5. Create model classrooms and labsites for visible | 2018-2019 PK | ELA Coordinator, | None | n/a | Professional learning |


| implementation of workshop model in reading, | 8 ELA and | Math Coordinator, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| writing, and math PK-8 and Student Engagement | Math; 2019- <br> Model in 9-12 | ELA and Math <br> Leadership Teams <br> Secondary |  | experiences for teachers and <br> administrators, classroom <br> observations, student <br> achievement data review, <br> teacher reflection data |

Performance Goal 6 : The district will implement strategies to improve customer service, parent engagement, and community involvement.

## System Commitment(s): 3

## State Department Category: <br> Strategic Area of Emphasis: <br> Teacher and Administrator Quality, School Climate <br> Leadership Development <br> Reading Plan Goal:

| School Level | Measure | Grade | Baseline | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary | \% of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with the social and physical environment at my school" will reach 95\% by 2019-20. |  | 93.3\% | 94.2\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 91.2\% |  | 92.1\% | 94.9\% |  |
|  | \% of positive student and parent responses on the state survey metric "I am satisfied with home/school relations at my school" will reach $95 \%$ by 2019-20. |  | 89.6\% | 92.3\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% | 95.0\% |
|  |  |  | (Actual) | 79.6\% |  | 80.2\% | 93.6\% |  |


| Action Plan for Performance Goal 6: |  |  | Evaluation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Customer service efforts will be supported and <br> monitored through the school's ongoing <br> improvement meetings with central services. | August 2018 <br> ongoing | Principal, Director of <br> Elementary Schools, <br> Director of <br> Secondary Schools | None | n/a | Tiered system of support <br> matrix |
| 2. Define job-specific customer service skills for all <br> employees as part of on-boarding and annual HR <br> training. | August 2018 | Principal, Director of <br> Human Resources | None | n/a | HR training modules |
| 3. Increase feedback opportunities for students and <br> parents through on-site events, digital <br> communication, and sampling tools. | August 2018 - <br> ongoing | Principal, Director of | None | n/a | Customer Service/School- <br> Home Relations data <br> available on district <br> dashboard |

